Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Area 2 - Upper Sevier Team - Pasture

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Area 2 - Upper Sevier Team - Pasture		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes O or No O
Clean and Abundant Water: Water Quality - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
2. a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to animal feeding operations, or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes O or No O
2. b. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d, etc.)?	Yes O or No O
2. c. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a "non-impaired water body"?	Yes O or No O
Clean and Abundant Water: Water Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer implement conservation practices which:	
3. a. Decrease aquifer overdraft?	Yes O or No O
3. b. Conserve water from irrigation system improvements and saved water will be available for other beneficial uses?	Yes O or No O
3. c. Conserve water in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes O or No O
Clean Air: Treatment of air quality from agricultural sources - Will the proposed project assist the producer to implement practice(s) which:	
4. a. Meet on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes O or No O
4. b. Reduce on-farm generated green house gases such as CO2 (Carbon Dioxide), CH4 (Methane), and N2O (Nitrous Oxide)?	Yes O or No O
4. c. Increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	Yes O or No O
Soil Health: Will the proposed project assist the producer to implement practice(s) which:	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes O or No O
5. b. Improve soil tilth, organic matter, structure, health, etc.?	Yes O or No O
Healthy Plant and Animal Communities Wildlife Habitat Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer to implement practice(s) which:	
6. a. Benefit on-farm habitat associated with threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern as identified in a State wildlife plan?	Yes O or No O
6. b. Help retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)?	Yes O or No O
High Quality, Productive Soils, Healthy Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project assist the producer implement practices which:	

7. a. Help manage or control noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	Yes O or No O
7. b. Increase, or improve habitat to benefit pollinator or other targeted wildlife species?	Yes O or No O
7. c. Properly dispose of livestock carcasses?	Yes O or No O
7. d. Are identified in an Integrated Pest Management plan?	Yes O or No O
7. e. Are identified in a Nutrient Management plan?	Yes O or No O
7. f. Apply principles of adaptive nutrient management?	Yes O or No O
Energy Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer to implement practices which:	
8. a. Reduce energy consumption on the agricultural operation?	Yes O or No O
8. b. Increase on-farm energy efficiency with practices and improvements identified in an approved energy audit equivalent to criteria required in Ag EMP (122,124)?	Yes O or No O
8. c. Assist in implementing energy conservation measures that also reduce greenhouse gas emissions and other air pollutants?	Yes O or No O
Business Lines - Conservation Implementation Additional Ranking Considerations - Will the proposed project result in:	
9. a. Implementation of all conservation practices scheduled in the contract on the CPA-1155 within three years of date of obligation?	Yes O or No O
9. b. Improvement of existing conservation practices or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is accepted?	Yes O or No O
9. c. Implementation of practice(s) which will complete an existing conservation system or suite of practices?	Yes O or No O

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	
Wildlife	
2. Will the practices installed facilitate improvement in the habitat for sensitive, threatened, or endangered species?	Yes O or No O
Weeds	
3. Will the practices installed control all invasive species present on the offered acres?	Yes O or No O
Water Quality	
4. Will practices be installed to improve the identified TMDL impairment adjacent to and/or located less than 1320 feet from a TMDL identified water body?	
Grazing Lands	
5. Will the practices installed result in the implementation of a planned grazing system?	Yes O or No O
Pollinator Habitat	
6. Will this application include development of food, cover, and/or nest sites for native pollinators in accordance with Utah Technical Guide Notice UT238?	Yes O or No O

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If	Yes O or No O

answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	
Priority Area	
2. Is the proposed project located in a LWG priority area and addresses the resource issues for that area?	Yes O or No O
Priority Resource Concerns	
3. Does the proposed project address 4 or more LWG priority resource concerns?	Yes O or No O
4. Does the proposed project address 2 or 3 resource concerns that are not LWG priority resource concerns?	Yes O or No O
5. Does the proposed project address 1 resource concern that is not a LWG priority resource concerns?	Yes O or No O
Non-Priority Resource Concerns	
6. Does the proposed project address 4 or more resource concerns that are not LWG priority resource concerns?	Yes O or No O
7. Does the proposed project address 2 or 3 resource concerns that are not LWG priority resource concerns?	Yes O or No O
8. Does the proposed project address 1 resource concern that is not a LWG priority resource concerns?	Yes O or No O
Economics	
9. Will the total project cost be <\$200 per acre?	Yes O or No O
10. Will the total project cost be between \$201 and \$400 per acre?	Yes O or No O
11. Will the total project cost be between \$401 and \$600 per acre?	Yes O or No O
12. Will the total project cost be between \$601 and \$800 per acre?	Yes O or No O
13. Will the total project cost be between \$801 and \$1000 per acre?	Yes O or No O
14. Will the total project cost be between \$1001 and \$1200 per acre?	Yes O or No O
15. Will the total project cost be between \$1201 and \$1,400 per acre?	Yes O or No O
16. Will the total project cost be between \$1401 and \$1600 per acre?	Yes O or No O
17. Will the total project cost be between \$1601 and \$1800 per acre?	Yes O or No O
Pasture Condition Score	
18. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS score sheet for EQIP, greater than 13?	Yes O or No O
19. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS score sheet for EQIP, between 9 and 12.9?	Yes O or No O
20. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS score sheet for EQIP, between 6 and 8.9?	Yes O or No O
21. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS score sheet for EQIP, between 3 and 5.9?	Yes O or No O
22. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS score sheet for EQIP, between 1 and 2.9?	Yes O or No O

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	
Final Ranking Score:	

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your applications are sufficiently as a sufficient of the property of the prope	tion is
selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.	

Notes:

•	Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: